

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 PARIS 04044 142339Z

16

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03 NEA-11

NSAE-00 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SPC-03 USIA-15 TRSE-00

SAM-01 SAJ-01 DODE-00 DRC-01 SS-20 NSC-10 IO-14

ACDA-19 EB-11 H-03 OMB-01 /162 W

----- 032101

R 141805Z FEB 74

FM AMEMBASSY PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6870

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USMISSION NATO

CONFIDENTIAL PARIS 4044

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MCAP, NATO, FR

SUBJECT: NATO BUDGETS -- COST SHARING FORMULAE

REF: (A) STATE 27904; (B) PARIS 805; (C) USNATO 5794

1. SUMMARY. FRENCH MAY PROVE FLEXIBLE IN FUTURE ON REVISING COST SHARING FORMULA OF SOME NATO BUDGETS, ALTHOUGH THEY REMAIN OPPOSED TO GOF PARTICIPATION IN COMPREHENSIVE FINANCIAL "BURDENSHARING" PROGRAM. WE BELIEVE USG WILL HAVE MORE SUCCESS WITH FRENCH IF WE APPROACH NATO BUDGET SUBJECTS FROM PERSPECTIVE OF NEW CONDITIONS DEMANDING NEW AND MORE EQUITABLE FORMULAE, RATHER THAN ON BASIS OF "BURDENSHARING." END SUMMARY.

2. DRAWING ON INSTRUCTIONS IN REF A, WE RAISED WITH SCHRICKE (QUAI CHIEF OF PACTS AND DISARMAMENT AFFAIRS) ISSUE OF FRENCH PARTICIPATION IN REVISION OF NATO COST SHARING FORMULAE. SCHRICKE TOOK FLEXIBLE POSITION ON NATO BUDGETS ISSUE.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 PARIS 04044 142339Z

3. CENTRAL EUROPE PIPELINE SYSTEM DEFICIT-SCHRICKE

SAID HE BELIEVES FRENCH MAY BE ABLE TO DO SOMETHING TO REDUCE US SHARE OF THIS DEFICIT. FRENCHINTERNAL STUDIES ENVISION TWO ALTERNATIVES: DEFICIT COULD BE PRO-RATED ACCORDING TO CURRENT MILITARY USAGE. SINCE, ACCORDING TO SCHRICKE, CURRENT METHOD OF APPORTIONING DEFICIT DATES FROM EARLIER PERIOD WHEN US HAD LARGER NUMBER OF FORCES IN EUROPE, A NEW FORMULA BASED ON CURRENT MILITARY USAGE PROBABLY WOULD LEAD TO REDUCTION IN US SHARE OF DEFICIT. ALTERNATIVELY, COMMERCIAL RATES COULD BE ADJUSTED TO EASE OR REMOVE DEFICIT. SCHRICKE ADDED THAT NEITHER QUAI NOR GOF HAVE REACHED CONCLUSION ON THIS ISSUE, ALTHOUGH IT IS UNDER ACTIVE STUDY AND IS MOST LIKELY SUBJECT FOR GOF FLEXIBILITY. COMMENT: ALTHOUGH NOT MENTIONED BY SCHRICKE, WE DO NOT EXCLUDE POSSIBILITY THAT FRENCH MAY EVENTUALLY COME UP WITH FORMULA COMBINING THESE TWO OPTIONS WITH OVERALL EFFECT OF REDUCING US SHARE OF SYSTEM'S DEFICIT.

4. CIVIL BUDGET COST SHARING - SCHRICKE SAID THIS WAS UNDER STUDY IN GOF AND THAT HE THOUGHT A FORTHCOMING FRENCH ATTITUDE, WHILE POSSIBLE, WAS LESS LIKELY ON THIS SUBJECT THAT ON CENTRAL EUROPEAN PIPELINE SYSTEM DEFICIT. HE WAS NONCOMMittal ON WHETHER FRENCH WOULD OPPOSE BELGIAN PLAN.

5. MILITARY BUDGET - SCHRICKE VOLUNTERED THAT GOF IS ALSO STUDYING IDEA OF COST SHARING FOR MILITARY BUDGET WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO NADGE. HE SAID, HOWEVER, THAT THIS WAS LEAST LIKELY OF THREE "COST SHARING" SUBJECTS TO RECEIVE FAVORABLE ATTENTION FROM GOF.

6. COMPREHENSIVE BURDENSHARING PROGRAM - SCHRICKE REPEATED WELL KNOWN FRENCH REJECTION OF COMPREHENSIVE FINANCIAL BURDENSHARING (REF B AND PREVIOUS), NOTING THAT GOF CONTINUES TO BELIEVE (A) US SHOULD MAINTAIN ITS TROOPS IN EUROPE BECAUSE OF US NATIONAL INTEREST, (B) CURRENT BALANCE OF PAYMENTS SITUATIONS OF US AND OF EUROPE DO NOT JUSTIFY SINGLING OUT US MILITARY BALANCE OF PAYMENTS DRAIN RELATED TO US FORCE IN

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 PARIS 04044 142339Z

EUROPE FOR SPECIAL ATTENTION, AND (C) FINANCIAL BURDEN- SHARING PROGRAM WILL BE OBTAINED ONLY AT COST OF MAINTAINING MILITARY STRENGTH OF ALLIANCE.

7. THROUGHOUT OUR CONVERSATION, SCHRICKE UNDERLINED THAT CASTING THE CENTRAL EUROPE PIPELINE SYSTEM, CIVIL BUDGET, AND MILITARY BUDGET ITEMS UNDER UMBRELLA OF "BURDENSHARING" WOULD NOT BE HELPFUL IN

PRODUCING GOF COOPERATION. HE SUGGESTED WE VIEW THESE THREE SUBJECTS IN TERMS OF UPDATING OLD FORMULAE TO CONFORM TO NEW CONDITIONS, RATHER THAN AS "BURDEN-SHARING." HE ACKNOWLEDGED, HOWEVER, THAT GOF WOULD NOT OPPOSE PRESENTATION TO US AUDIENCE OF BUDGET CHANGES AS "BURDENSHARING."

8. COMMENT: WE BELIEVE THAT "BURDEN-SHARING" CONTINUES TO BE VIEWED BY FRENCH AS CODE WORD FOR US ATTEMPT TO USE SUBJECT OF EUROPEAN SECURITY TO ACHIEVE ADVANTAGES IN OTHER AREAS (I.E., BALANCE OF PAYMENTS). TO EXTEND THAT WASHINGTON AND US NATO CAN DIVORCE SUBJECT OF NATO BUDGETS FROM MORE COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM OF BURDENSHARING DESCRIBED IN REFTEL C, WE BELIEVE CHANCES WILL BE HEIGHTENED FOR NEW AND COOPERATIVE FRENCH ATTITUDE ON BUDGET ITEMS.IRWIN

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptoning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** MILITARY EXPENDITURES, BURDENSHARING, MILITARY BUDGET, MILITARY PLANS, PIPELINES  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 14 FEB 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** garlanwa  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974PARIS04044  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** PARIS  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740232/aaaabecg.tel  
**Line Count:** 131  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** (A) STATE 27904; (B) PARIS 805; (C) USNATO 5794  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** garlanwa  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 24 APR 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <24 APR 2002 by elyme>; APPROVED <29-Aug-2002 by garlanwa>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** NATO BUDGETS -- COST SHARING FORMULAE  
**TAGS:** MCAP, FR, XG, NATO  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005